



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/964,240	09/26/2001	Akiko Tanaka	3974.002	1854
75	90 11/14/2002			
Yate K. Cutlif	f		EXAMI	NER
Pendorf & Cutli P.O. Box 15095			TATE, CHRISTO	PHER ROBIN
+	FL 33733-5095			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	Υ.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/964,240**

Applicant(s)

Tanaka et al.

Examiner

Christopher Tate

Art Unit 1654



Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extracisions of time may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the nating date of this communication. - If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the nating date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the nating date of this communication (7) and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the nating date of this communication (7) and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the nating date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on this action is FINAL. - 2b) This action is non-final. - 31 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are pending in the application. - 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are allowed. - 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. - 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. - 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. - 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. - 8) Claim(s) Is are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). - 7) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are allowed. - 11 Proposed drawing corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. - 12 Proving under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 - 13 Acknowledgement is made o
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified debove, it less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, - feature to reply within the set or extended period for reply vite. Plays the care to extended period for reply vite. Plays the set or extended period for reply vite. Plays the set or extended period for reply vite. Plays the set of vite. Plays the set of reply vite. Plays the set of vite. Plays the set of reply vite. Plays t
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S post from the mailing date of this communication. - Private to respect the content of professor or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with professor or extended period or with professor or extended period for reply with professor or extended period or the professor or extended period for reply with professor or extended period or the professor or extended period or professor or extended period or professor or extended period or reply with professor or extended period or professor or extended period
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4i Claim(s) 1-16
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claims 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16
4) 反 Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) 反 Claims 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5
Solution
Solution
7) ☐ Claim(s)
are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/964,240

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-2, drawn to a method of making a pine cone extract and the pine extract produced thereby, classified in class 424, subclass 770, for example.
- II. Claims 3-12, drawn to a vaccine system consisting of a composition or kit comprising a vaccine or medicament, an adjuvant, and a pine cone extract, and a method of vaccination using the vaccine composition/system, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1, for example.
- III. Claims 13-16, drawn to a method of producing immature and/or mature dendritic and/or fibrocyte cells via exposition cells to a pine cone extract, classified in class 435, subclass 368, for example..

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I (product) and II-III (distinct processes) are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, as evidenced by the claims themselves, the pine cone extract of Group I can be used within a method of vaccination (Group II) or to produce dendritic and/or fibrocyte cells (Group III).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,240

Art Unit: 1654

Further, the pine cone extract of Group I can be used alone (e.g., to produce dendritic and/or fibrocyte cells) and, thus, does not require the presence of a vaccine/medicament or an adjuvant - both of which are required of the vaccine system of Group II.

The methods of Groups II and III are directed to different inventions which are not connected in design, operation, or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,240

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Page 4

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Christopher R. Tate whose telephone number is (703) 305-7114. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda

Brumback, can be reached at (703) 306-3220. The Group receptionist may be reached at (703)

308-0196. The fax number for art unit 1654 is (703) 308-4242.

Christopher R. Tate

Primary Examiner, Group 1654